UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	V. Jarod Jackson Defendant	Case No. 1:12 CR 101		
		Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require		
	Part I – Finding	gs of Fact		
(1)	_ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed – that is			
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a which the prison term is 10 years or more.	a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for		
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is deatl	h or life imprisonment.		
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten	years or more is prescribed in: .*		
	a felony committed after the defendant had been countries. Solution 2.5. Solution 3.142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local committees after the defendant had been contributed after the defendan	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ocal offenses.		
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involved a minor victim			
	the possession or use of a firearm or de a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2	estructive device or any other dangerous weapon 250		
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while to rollocal offense.	the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state		
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the		
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption person or the community. I further find that defendant has	n that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another not rebutted that presumption.		
	Alternative Fin	idings (A)		
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has	committed an offense		
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or mo	ore is prescribed in:		
		.*		
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establish will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the	ed by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions e safety of the community.		
✓ (1)	Alternative Fin There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	idings (B)		
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	e safety of another person or the community.		
	Part II – Statement of the R	Reasons for Detention		
evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the det a preponderance of the evidence that:			
Defenda failures has a hi	to appear, with some indication that there may be as many	s arrests and two felony convictions. He has 9 documented as 20. He has spent time in jail for probation violation. He about his identity. The defendant's history reflects a lack of		

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	May 29, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
		Name and Title:	Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge